

# Quaternary Fault and Fold Database of the United States

As of January 12, 2017, the USGS maintains a limited number of metadata fields that characterize the Quaternary faults and folds of the United States. For the most up-to-date information, please refer to the [interactive fault map](#).

## St. Louis-Cape Girardeau liquefaction features (Class A) No. 1030

Last Review Date: 2000-01-28

*citation for this record:* Wheeler, R.L., compiler, 2000, Fault number 1030, St. Louis-Cape Girardeau liquefaction features, in Quaternary fault and fold database of the United States: U.S. Geological Survey website, <https://earthquakes.usgs.gov/hazards/qfaults>, accessed 01/04/2021 10:24 AM.

### Synopsis

Tuttle and others (1999 #3904) examined sand blows, sand dikes, and sand sills that were found during systematic searches of streams in southeastern Missouri and southwestern Illinois. The search extended approximately 50-90 km west and east of the Mississippi River, between the St. Louis area on the northwest and the Cape Girardeau area on the southeast. Correlation and dating of the liquefaction features remain uncertain. The present interpretation infers an earthquake of estimated moment magnitude  $M > 6$ , and perhaps exceeding 7, that occurred approximately 6,500 years ago, roughly 60 km east of St. Louis. If the magnitude of this 6,500-yr-old event is smaller, then there is a corresponding increase in the likelihood that another earthquake of  $M > 5.2$  occurred in or very near St. Louis. A second earthquake caused strong ground shaking in the area during the past 4,000 years. These liquefaction features are evidence of

	<p>strong shaking, but they do not identify the specific fault or faults that caused an earthquake or earthquakes. Because individual Quaternary faults remain unidentified, it is not possible to define and measure specific attributes (azimuth, length, dip, etc.) for the St. Louis-Cape Girardeau liquefaction features.</p>
<p><b>Name comments</b></p>	<p>Tuttle and others (1999 #3904) examined sand blows, sand dikes, and sand sills that were found during systematic searches of stream valleys in southeastern Missouri and southwestern Illinois. The search extended approximately 50-90 km west and east of the Mississippi River, between the St. Louis area on the northwest and the Cape Girardeau area on the southeast. The study area is named here informally after these two cities.</p>
<p><b>County(s) and State(s)</b></p>	<p>BOLLINGER COUNTY, MISSOURI  CAPE GIRARDEAU COUNTY, MISSOURI  FRANKLIN COUNTY, MISSOURI  JEFFERSON COUNTY, MISSOURI  PERRY COUNTY, MISSOURI  ST. FRANCOIS COUNTY, MISSOURI  ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI  STE. GENEVIEVE, COUNTY, MISSOURI  WASHINGTON COUNTY, MISSOURI  WAYNE COUNTY, MISSOURI  CLINTON COUNTY, ILLINOIS  JACKSON COUNTY, ILLINOIS  JOHNSON COUNTY, ILLINOIS  MADISON COUNTY, ILLINOIS  MASSAC COUNTY, ILLINOIS  MONROE COUNTY, ILLINOIS  PULASKI COUNTY, ILLINOIS  RANDOLPH COUNTY, ILLINOIS  ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ILLINOIS  WASHINGTON COUNTY, ILLINOIS</p>
<p><b>Physiographic province(s)</b></p>	<p>CENTRAL LOWLAND  OZARK PLATEAUS  INTERIOR LOW PLATEAUS  COASTAL PLAIN</p>
<p><b>Reliability of location</b></p>	<p>Poor  Compiled at 1:700,000 scale.</p> <p><i>Comments:</i> The prehistoric liquefaction was recognized as the type that is caused by strong ground motion (Obermeier, 1996</p>

	#2256), and the strong motions are presumed to have been caused by slip on one or more preexisting faults. However, the causative faults have not been identified, and the locations and sizes of the liquefaction features studied to date provide poor constraints on the sources of the shaking.
<b>Geologic setting</b>	The study area straddles the Mississippi River and the western edge of the Illinois basin, spanning approximately 37°-39° N. The New Madrid seismic zone is immediately southeast of the study area. Regionally, bedrock consists of Paleozoic strata that dip northeastward from the Ozark uplift toward the center of the Illinois basin. Historical earthquakes are scattered throughout the study area. The earthquakes are part of the broad, diffuse halo of scattered seismicity that surrounds the New Madrid seismic zone. Numerous large faults, monoclines, and other folds of Paleozoic age are known throughout the region, and most involved the basement.
<b>Length (km)</b>	km.
<b>Average strike</b>	
<b>Sense of movement</b>	No data  <i>Comments:</i> The sense of fault movement is unknown. The prehistoric earthquakes are known only from locations and age estimates of liquefaction. No surface ruptures or other deformation are known from the earthquakes.
<b>Dip</b>	No data  <i>Comments:</i> The causative fault or faults remain unidentified and uncharacterized.
<b>Paleoseismology studies</b>	Two coeval, multiple-year studies covered areas that overlap in west-central Illinois. (1) Tuttle and others (1996 #3956; 1998 #3957; 1999 #3904) searched for liquefaction features along 447 km of stream cutbanks in southeastern Missouri and southwestern Illinois, within approximately 50 to 90 km east and west of the Mississippi River. The search area was chosen to include several large faults and anticlines that are near clusters of epicenters; however, no faults were found to cut Quaternary strata. In addition, Tuttle and others (1999 #3904) documented 308 sites having liquefaction and soft-sediment structures and dateable

materials. They found possible or confirmed liquefaction features (sand dikes, blows, or sills) at 48 sites, and soft-sediment structures of less certain origin (folds, small faults, sand diapirs, load structures, slumps, and the like) at some of these sites as well as at another 24 sites. Geotechnical data from 51 boreholes at 15 locations near liquefaction features allowed estimation of the magnitudes and distances of earthquakes that could have produced the observed liquefaction features. (2) McNulty and Obermeier (1997 #3900; 1997 #3901; 1999 #3906) reported results from 21 sites, some of which were also documented by Tuttle and others (1999 #3904). The 21 sites had been found during extensive surveys of the region that is immediately to the east of, and overlapped by, the study area of Tuttle and others (1999 #3904) (Obermeier, 1996 #3903; Munson and others, 1997 #2839; McNulty and Obermeier, 1997 #3900; 1997 #3901; Obermeier, 1998 #3902; McNulty and Obermeier, 1999 #3906).

Tuttle and others (1999 #3904) suggested three alternative scenarios that could explain the results: (1) an earthquake of moment magnitude  $M > 7$  with an epicentral area 50-60 km east of St. Louis, near Germantown, Illinois; (2) an earthquake of  $M > 7.5$  with an epicentral area 35 km farther east near Centralia, Illinois, perhaps on the Centralia fault-Du Quoin monocline; or (3) three separate earthquakes, an  $M > 6$  near Germantown (McNulty and Obermeier, 1997 #3900; 1997 #3901; 1999 #3906), an  $M > 5.2$  near St. Louis, and an  $M > 7.5$  near New Madrid, Missouri. The absence of dikes in liquefiable deposits of suitable age near Centralia argues against scenario (2) (McNulty and Obermeier, 1999 #3906). Consistent with scenario (1), McNulty and Obermeier (1999 #3906) estimated that the earthquake near Germantown was at least as large as  $M 6.5$ , based on the greatest distance to observed liquefaction features. Under scenarios (1) and (2), other liquefaction features that formed during the last 4,000 years might have been caused by one or more of the very large earthquakes that other studies have shown occurred in the New Madrid seismic zone in approximately A.D. 900 +/- 100 yr and A.D. 1530 +/- 140 yr, and during the winter of 1811-1812 (Tuttle and others, 1999, p. 1-5).

**Geomorphic expression**

None. Sand blows, dikes, and sills are exposed in cut banks of streams. Among the recognized liquefaction features, sand dikes are far more numerous than sills or sand blows.

**Age of faulted**

The liquefaction features are in late Wisconsinan and Holocene

<b>surficial deposits</b>	deposits (Tuttle and others, 1999 #3904; McNulty and Obermeier, 1999 #3906). None of these deposits is known to be tectonically faulted.
<b>Historic earthquake</b>	
<b>Most recent prehistoric deformation</b>	latest Quaternary (<15 ka)  <i>Comments:</i> Timing remains uncertain, and depends on how liquefaction features are correlated. Resolution of the uncertainty requires more study, dates, and geotechnical work (Tuttle and others, 1999 #3904; McNulty and Obermeier, 1999 #3906).
<b>Recurrence interval</b>	  <i>Comments:</i> The number, location, and size of prehistoric earthquakes in the study area remain uncertain, and depend on uncertain correlation of liquefaction features.
<b>Slip-rate category</b>	Insufficient data  <i>Comments:</i> There are no data from which to estimate a slip rate. No causal fault, surface rupture, or dated fault offset is known.
<b>Date and Compiler(s)</b>	2000 Russell L. Wheeler, U.S. Geological Survey, Emeritus
<b>References</b>	#3900 McNulty, W.E., and Obermeier, S.F., 1997, Liquefaction evidence for Holocene paleo-earthquakes in central and southwestern Illinois: Eos, Transactions of the American Geophysical Union, v. 78, no. 17, p. S316.  #3901 McNulty, W.E., and Obermeier, S.F., 1997, Liquefaction evidence for two Holocene paleo-earthquakes in central and southwestern Illinois: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 97-435, 22 p.  #3906 McNulty, W.E., and Obermeier, S.F., 1999, Liquefaction evidence for at least two strong Holocene paleo-earthquakes in central and southwestern Illinois, USA: Environmental & Engineering Geoscience, v. 5, no. 2, p. 133-146.  #2839 Munson, P.J., Obermeier, S.F., Munson, C.A., and Hajic, E.R., 1997, Liquefaction evidence for Holocene and latest Pleistocene seismicity in the southern halves of Indiana and Illinois—A preliminary overview: Seismological Research

Letters, v. 68, no. 4, p. 521-536.

#2256 Obermeier, S.F., 1996, Use of liquefaction-induced features for paleoseismic analysis—An overview of how seismic liquefaction features can be distinguished from other features and how their regional distribution and properties of source sediment can be used to infer the location and strength of Holocene paleo-earthquakes: *Engineering Geology*, v. 44, p. 1-76.

#3903 Obermeier, S.F., 1996, Seismically induced paleoliquefaction features in southern half of Illinois: *Seismological Research Letters*, v. 67, no. 2, p. 49.

#3902 Obermeier, S.F., 1998, Liquefaction evidence for strong earthquakes of Holocene and latest Pleistocene ages in the states of Indiana and Illinois, USA: *Engineering Geology*, v. 50, p. 227-254.

#3904 Tuttle, M., Chester, J., Lafferty, R., Dyer-Williams, K., and Cande, R., 1999, Paleoseismology study northwest of the New Madrid seismic zone: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report NUREG/CR-5730, 155 p.

#3957 Tuttle, M., Chester, J., Lafferty, R., Dyer-Williams, K., Haynes, M., Cande, R., and Sierzchula, M., 1998, Liquefaction features in southwestern Illinois and southeastern Missouri and their implications for paleoseismicity: *Eos, Transactions of the American Geophysical Union*, v. 79, no. 17, p. S342.

#3956 Tuttle, M.P., Lafferty, R.H., Cande, R.F., Chester, J.S., and Haynes, M., 1996, Evidence of earthquake-induced liquefaction north of the New Madrid seismic zone, Central United States: *Seismological Research Letters*, v. 67, no. 2, p. 58.

[Questions or comments?](#)

[Facebook](#) [Twitter](#) [Google](#) [Email](#)

[Hazards](#)

[Design Ground Motions](#)[Seismic Hazard Maps & Site-Specific Data](#)[Faults](#)[Scenarios](#)

[Earthquakes](#)[Hazards](#)[Data](#)[Education](#)[Monitoring](#)[Research](#)

[Home](#)[About Us](#)[Contacts](#)[Legal](#)