

Quaternary Fault and Fold Database of the United States

As of January 12, 2017, the USGS maintains a limited number of metadata fields that characterize the Quaternary faults and folds of the United States. For the most up-to-date information, please refer to the <u>interactive fault map</u>.

Washington fault zone, northern section (Class A) No. 1004a

Last Review Date: 2004-06-01

Compiled in cooperation with the Arizona Geological Survey and the Utah Geological Survey

citation for this record: Black, B.D., DuRoss, C.B., McDonald, G.N., Pearthree, P.A., and Hecker, S., compilers, 2004, Fault number 1004a, Washington fault zone, northern section, in Quaternary fault and fold database of the United States: U.S. Geological Survey website, https://earthquakes.usgs.gov/hazards/qfaults, accessed

12/14/2020 02:19 PM.

Synopsis	General: The Washington fault is a long, north- to northeast-
· -	trending fault zone with substantial Cenozoic normal
	displacement that straddles the western margin of the Colorado
	Plateaus province. It extends from the Shivwitz Plateau into the
	St. George basin in southern Utah. The fault zone has generated
	two prominent, west-facing bedrock escarpments in the southern
	St. George basin as a result of several hundred meters of normal

displacement. The high, linear escarpments are formed by the two faults and its morphology suggests that this part of the fault zone has been fairly active during the Quaternary. Pleistocene deposits are faulted in a few places, but no definitive evidence of Holocene faulting has been discovered. Farther south, the Washington fault zone forms the westernmost of several grabens that cut the Shivwitz Plateau. The fault zone has a moderately high, westfacing escarpment and a narrow, shallow graben on the plateau; vertical displacement across the fault zone is less than 100 m. Along this part of the fault zone, upper Pleistocene deposits are displaced by a few meters, and Holocene deposits are not faulted.

Sections: This fault has 3 sections. The sections (northern, Mokaac, and Sullivan Draw) are defined on the basis of structural geometry and geomorphic expression of the fault zone. The northern section encompasses the main Washington fault zone from the pass between Seegmiller and Wolf Hole Mountains north to the Utah border; this section was called the Washington fault by Billingsley (1990 #2079; 1992 #2077). The Mokaac section is subparallel with and northwest of the Washington section in the southern St. George basin. It was called the Mokaac Wash segment by Menges and Pearthree (1983 #2073) and the Mokaac fault by Billingsley 1990 #2079; 1992 #2077). It merges with the Washington section about 5 km south of the Utah border. The Sullivan Draw section is farther south on the Shivwitz Plateau, and total displacement across the fault is much less. Along much of this section, there is a companion, east-dipping fault to the west of the Washington fault. Together, they form the Sullivan graben of Billingsley (1991 #2081; 1991 #2082).

Name comments

General:

Section: This informally named section applies to the main Washington fault from the pass between Seegmiller and Wolf Hole Mountains north to the Utah border. The fault continues several kilometers north of the border in Utah, through the town of Washington, Utah.

County(s) and
State(s)

MOHAVE COUNTY, ARIZONA |WASHINGTON COUNTY, UTAH

Physiographic province(s)

BASIN AND RANGE COLORADO PLATEAUS

Reliability of Good **location** | Compiled at 1:250,000 scale.

Comments: Mapped at 1:24,000 scale; the traces were transferred from Anderson and Christenson (1989 #828) to 1:250,000-scale topographic base map for digitization. Location of fault in Utah is from 1:250,000-scale map of Anderson and Christenson (1989) #828). Fault also mapped or discussed by Earth Science Associates (1982 #4447), Menges and Pearthree (1983 #2073), Peterson (1983 #2084), Scarborough and others (1986 #4449), Anderson and Christenson (1989 #828), Willis and Higgins (1995) #4450), and Higgins (1998 #4448).

Lund (2004 #6733) indicates that insufficient paleoseismic data exist to determine the timing, number, or recurrence of surfacefaulting earthquakes along the Washington fault zone.

Geologic setting

The north- to northeast-trending Washington fault zone straddles the margin of the Colorado Plateaus province in northwestern Arizona. Paleozoic rocks are displaced vertically by several hundred meters across each of the two major fault strands in the southern St. George basin. Tertiary basalt is also displaced by substantial amounts across these fault strands, and upper Quaternary alluvium is displaced several meters (Billingsley, 1990 #2079; 1992 #2077). Vertical displacement of Paleozoic rocks is less than about 100 m across the southern section of the fault on the Shivwitz Plateau; upper Pleistocene to Holocene (?) alluvial deposits are displaced by as much as 3 m along this section of the fault. The fault has an estimated maximum throw of 750 m in Arizona, but displacement decreases northward in Utah. The fault displaces pre-existing geologic structures and has normal-drag and reverse-drag folding genetically associated with it (Anderson and Christenson, 1989 #828).

Length (km)

This section is 36 km of a total fault length of 72 km.

Average strike

N11°E (for section) versus N23°E,N11°E,N11°E (for whole fault)

Sense of movement

Normal

Comments: Based on regional relations and normal displacement of bedrock and alluvium across the fault zone. Hecker (1993) #642) indicates slip on the main and subsidiary faults ranges from pure dip slip to left-lateral, oblique slip.

Dip 80° W. to vertical

Comments: Higgins (1998 #4448)

Paleoseismology studies

Earth Sciences Associates (1982 #4447) excavated trenches across lineaments of uncertain origin at three flood-control dams near the Washington fault, including Gypsum Wash Dam, Warner Draw Dam, and Stucki Dam. Relative ages of Quaternary deposits were estimated from soil development and stratigraphy; no radiometric dating was performed. The trenches at the Warner Draw and Stucki Dam sites revealed no evidence of faulting. At Gypsum Wash Dam (site 1004-1), five trenches were excavated near the south end of the dam. Trenches G-1, G-4, and G-X, excavated along lineaments about 45 m west of the main fault trace, revealed a wide zone of high-angle shears that form a series of horsts and grabens with a net down-to-the-west displacement. Faulted alluvial-fan deposits estimated to be 5–10 ka are overlain by unfaulted alluvial-fan deposits. Trenches G-2 and G-3 were excavated across the main fault trace and revealed bedrock in fault contact with late Pleistocene (?) alluvial-fan deposits, showing at least 1.2 m of offset. Younger alluvial-fan deposits, estimated to be no older than 1–1.5 ka, showed only 5 cm of vertical displacement; this displacement could be the result of one of several possible non-tectonic processes, including differential settlement due to gypsum dissolution.

Geomorphic expression

Faulting has generated moderately high, steep, west- to northwest-facing escarpments on resistant Paleozoic bedrock. Escarpment height decreases dramatically toward the Utah border, where less resistant Mesozoic bedrock is exposed in the footwall. Upper Quaternary alluvium is displaced several meters (Billingsley, 1990 #2079; 1992 #2077), but no detailed analysis of fault-scarp morphology has been made. Upper Quaternary talus and landslide deposits are probably faulted near the northern end of this section. The Washington fault in Arizona has been studied by Peterson (1983 #2084) and Menges and Pearthree (1983 #2073). The fault zone has generated two prominent bedrock escarpments and shows several hundred meters of normal displacement in the St. George basin. North of Washington, a Pleistocene pediment deposit (tentatively estimated to be roughly 300 ka in age), which underlies a 8-m-high scarp, does not appear to be displaced. Here, and along much of the fault, scarp development is largely the result of differential erosion of contrasting lithologies on the upthrown and downthrown blocks

	(Petersen, 1983 #2084). Near Washington, a subsidiary fault displaces an early Pleistocene basalt flow (the Washington flow) as much as 4.5 m. Small (<5 cm) displacements of "younger" alluvium may be due to differential compaction rather than coseismic surface faulting (Earth Science Associates, 1982 #4447). A single profile of a southern scarp on a highly dissected pediment indicates a morphologic age comparable to the Bonneville shoreline (Anderson and Christenson, 1989 #828).
surficial	Paleozoic, Mesozoic, Tertiary, late Pleistocene. The geology of the fault zone was mapped by Billingsley (1990 #2079; 1990 #2080; 1991 #2081; 1991 #2082; 1992 #2077).
Historic earthquake	
prehistoric	late Quaternary (<130 ka) Comments: The recency of movement appears to be poorly constrained. At the Utah-Arizona border, Menges and Pearthree (1983 #2073) estimated a late Pleistocene to early Holocene age range for faulting based on scarp morphology, and Scarborough and others (1986 #4449) placed the fault in an age category of less than 30 ka for most recent rupture. Anderson and Christenson (1989 #828) considered the age uncertainty to be greater and recommended a middle to late Pleistocene age for the part of the fault in Utah. We conservatively assign an age of less than 130 ka based on estimated age of faulted alluvium.
Recurrence interval	Comments: Lund (2004 #6733) indicates that insufficient paleoseismic data exist to determine the timing, number, or recurrence of surface-faulting earthquakes along the Washington fault zone.
Slip-rate category	Less than 0.2 mm/yr Comments: South of Washington near Warner Draw, subsurface investigations by Earth Science Associates (1982 #4447) indicated more than a meter of displacement in undated "older" alluvium (estimated from uncertain evidence to be 10–25 ka in age). A low, long-term slip rate is suggested by 200 m of offset of a 3.5 Ma basalt. Lund (2004 #6733) concludes that insufficient paleoseismic data exist to make a reliable slip rate estimate.

	ller a a a a l
	However, low geologic slip rates are suggested by 5 cm of displacement since approximately 1.5 ka, 1.2 m since m of displacement across the Washington basalt flow, K-Ar dated at 1.7±0.1 Ma (Best and others, 1980 #6739).
Date and Compiler(s)	2004 Bill D. Black, Utah Geological Survey Christopher B. DuRoss, Utah Geological Survey Greg N. McDonald, Utah Geological Survey Philip A. Pearthree, Arizona Geological Survey Suzanne Hecker, U.S. Geological Survey
References	#828 Anderson, R.E., and Christenson, G.E., 1989, Quaternary faults, folds, and selected volcanic features in the Cedar City 1° x 2° quadrangle, Utah: Utah Geological and Mineral Survey Miscellaneous Publication 89-6, 29 p., 1 pl., scale 1:250,000. #7313 Biek, R.F., Rowley, P.D., Hacker, D.B., Hayden, J.M., Willis, G.C., Hintze, L.F., Anderson, R.E., and Brown, K.D., 2010, Geologic map of the St. George and east part of the Clover Mountains 30' x 60' quadrangles, Washington and Iron Counties, Utah: Utah Geological Survey Map 242DM, scale 1:100,000. #2079 Billingsley, G.H., 1990, Geologic map of the Lizard Point quadrangle, northern Mohave County, Arizona: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 90-643, 1 sheet, scale 1:24,000. #2080 Billingsley, G.H., 1990, Geologic map of the Wolf Hole Mountain East quadrangle, northern Mohave County, Arizona: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 90-644, 1 sheet, scale 1:24,000. #2081 Billingsley, G.H., 1991, Geologic map of the Sullivan Draw North quadrangle, northern Mohave County, Arizona: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 91-558, 10 p., 1 pl., scale 1:24,000.
	Draw South quadrangle, northern Mohave County, Arizona: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 91-559, 9 p., 1 pl., scale 1:24,000. #2077 Billingsley, G.H., 1992, Geologic map of the Yellowhorse Flat quadrangle, northern Mohave County, Arizona: U.S.
	Geological Survey Open-File Report 92-442, 17 p., 1 pl., scale

#4447 Earth Science Associates, 1982, Phase I report, seismic safety investigation of eight SCS dams in southwestern Utah: Technical report to U.S. Soil Conservation Service, Palo Alto, California, 2 volumes, variously paginated.

#642 Hecker, S., 1993, Quaternary tectonics of Utah with emphasis on earthquake-hazard characterization: Utah Geological Survey Bulletin 127, 157 p., 6 pls., scale 1:500,000.

#4448 Higgins, J.M., 1998, Interim geologic map of the Washington Dome quadrangle, Washington County, Utah: Utah Geological Survey Open-File Report 363, 128 p., 1 pl., scale 1:24,000.

#6733 Lund, W.R., 2005, Consensus preferred recurrence interval and vertical slip rate estimates—Review of Utah paleoseismictrenching data by the Utah Quaternary Fault Parameters Working Group: Utah Geological Survey Bulletin 134, compact disk.

#8534 Lund, W.R., 2016, Geologic mapping and paleoseismic investigations of the Washington fault zone, Washington County, Utah, and Mohave County, Arizona: Utah Geological Survey Miscellaneous Publications MP-15-6, Paleoseismology of Utah, v. 27.

#8533 Lund, W.R., Knudsen, T.R., and Simon, D.B., 2011, Preliminary results—Paloeseismic study of the northern section of the Washington fault zone, SW Utah and NW Arizona, *in* Biggar, N., Luke, B., and Werle, J., Water, soils, and sustainability in the Intermountain West: University of Nevada, Las Vegas, Proceedings of the 43rd Symposium on Engineering Geology and Geotechnical Engineering, March 23–25, 2011, 13 p.

#2073 Menges, C.M., and Pearthree, P.A., 1983, Map of neotectonic (latest Pliocene-Quaternary) deformation in Arizona: Arizona Geological Survey Open-File Report 83-22, 48 p., scale 1:500,000.

#2084 Petersen, S.M., 1983, The tectonics of the Washington fault zone, northern Mohave County, Arizona: Brigham Young University Geology Studies, v. 30, no. 1, p. 83-94.

#4449 Scarborough, R.B., Menges, C.M., and Pearthree, P.A., 1986, Late Pliocene-Quaternary (post 4 m.y.) faults, folds, and volcanic rocks in Arizona: Arizona Bureau of Geology and Technology Map 22, scale 1:1,000,000.

#4450 Willis, G.C., and Higgins, J.M., 1995, Interim geologic map of the Washington quadrangle, Washington County, Utah: Utah Geological Survey Open-File Report 324, 108 p., 1 pl., scale 1:24,000.

Questions or comments?

Facebook Twitter Google Email

Hazards

<u>Design Ground MotionsSeismic Hazard Maps & Site-Specific DataFaultsScenarios</u> <u>EarthquakesHazardsDataEducationMonitoringResearch</u>

Search... Search

HomeAbout UsContactsLegal